2R 45/95

Previous references. 1895 — 1905.

File No. 2 D 95

Serial Nos. 1 to 6

(Rec — Mem)

Later references. 7 to 9 Filed

LB

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Collectorate Records

Serial Nos. 1 to 6.

Indexed

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Appeal by D. Narasinga Rao against the orders of the Dy. Commr. to grant to him mittand-sale relating to grant of Survey No: 170 of Mirle village, Yedatore Tk. applied for by him

1139 R. Dt 29-6-96. from D. Narasinga Rao. Appeals against the orders of the D.C. declining to grant mittand-sale S. No 170 of Mirle village Yedatore Tk.

Petn/116 Dt 18-7-96. to D.C. Mysore. Appeal forwarded to D.C. for report

1488 R. Dt 2-10-96 from D. Narasinga Rao Petn for grant of S no: 170 of Mirle Yedatore Tk.

G. R. S. 58

Petn. 299. No. 872 Dt 23-11-96 from D.C. Mysore forwards Report

1

1896

No. 4139

Name of Petitioner, Veerappa Karadiga son of Acting Amildar of

Village, Sagara

Taluk, Sagara

District, Shimoga

Date of Arzi,

Date of Receipt, 29.6.96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 5

ABSTRACT. Continued in the Sori Appeal against the order of the Commr Sagara dt 22 No 2353 dt 15-5-96 re the 2nd Inam Commr upon dt regarding Sm No 170 of Kirlai village, Uparvalli Taluk

The Sale is as follows:—

As the land appears to be a valuable one, it is better to dispose it of by a public sale.

Petnr states that he is 2nd of Kirlai village was in his Enjoyment; that on it he gave up field No 2 having a Basis of Rs 2-12-0 situated in the bed of the river for cultivation land in 1879 as per remarks during the Inspection panchanama; that the Govt of the said land & the Inam Commr No 172 is still in his possession & enjoyment that the land above disputed was

Re petitioner's reference to (1) Chief Commr's letter No 5577 dt 6-9-96

(2) Subject matter of the Petn of the Amildar of Sagara, dt 15 & 1896

(3) Land Records regarding the Boundaries of the Sm No 170 & also the proceedings of the Inam Commr & other papers required for perusal to enable the Collector to submit the Report

No 77 Chief Commr's Proc No 5577 96-9-96 & also Enclosures

Nil

Stamp sufficient

In time

Not a Criminal case

Under Rule 2 of Cir

Dist Ack 1/7/96 No 87

Accepted report submitted the Taluk Amildar

(6-7-96)

Office Copy

Taluk Bill 10-7-96

bears date the 17th of October is entered as Richard;
that this Richard is adjoining his
land of 169 & 172 has been a passage
for the cattle & times damage
has ceased; that he applied to the
Govt for this land (four Lots) in
Dec: 1894 for the purpose of cultivation,
wherefore a report was sent to the Govt,
that the then Governor the Hon Augustus Hope
asked the Govt's opinion regarding
the giving of the said land on a reasonable [illegible] Vide Enclosure marked 7.
valuation to the Petr in case of any loss
to the Petr by its disposal to others; that
then the Govt reported that the Petr would
surely incur loss by such a procedure
& recommended the principle sale to the
Petr at 15/- per acre; that then
the Government Officer having inspected
the Petr's certificate himself that the said
land originally belonged to the Petr & could Vide Enclosure marked 4.
still safely be sold to the Petr at 15/- per acre
as some portion of the land was unfit
for cultivation; that thereupon the
present Governor Sir [illegible] above- Vide Enclosure marked 4.
[illegible] translated against the Petr's appeal;
that the aforesaid writing will show Vide Enclosure marked 4
that the land was in the possession
the Petr from January 1894;
that Crown Lands Ordinance No 12 of 1897
S. 9. 76 & 77 gives the right of precaution
regarding Crown lands adjoining private;
that S. 76 para 2 of the said Ordinance
says that the land shall be given to the
Petr applicant in case the adjoining
land shall be proved by him & others;
that Sec 6 of the said Ordinance says
to the same effect; that the Petr & his
father have been able to dispose their

Register of the Court of Probate for the District of Peterborough for the year ending 31st December 1894 and the Proceedings of the Annual Assembly of 1894 at the ~~Town~~ Borough Hall of the said District for the purpose of electing a Public Auctioneer for the said Court of the Peterborough.

Examined at the said Court Wm. Jones Esq. Registrar of the said Court Henry Wilson Esq. Solicitor to the Court Thomas Smith Esq. Public Auctioneer and Valuer and Charles Brown Esq. Solicitor to the Court.



By post with
2 Rs Stamp affixed
Put up the orders referred to
in the petition.
AS-29/10/96
Advt. No. [illegible]

శ్రీ వంశీకృష్ణ వర్మ గారి వద్ద నుండి ఆ వివాహ సంబంధం కొరకు అభ్యర్థన వచ్చినదని తెలియచేయుచు వారు A అనుకూలంగా ఉన్నట్లు తెలియచేసినారు. (Exhibit A)

7

ఆ వివాహ సంబంధం కొరకు మీ అభిప్రాయం తెలియచేయగలందులకు వినతి చేయుచున్నాను. మీ అభిప్రాయం అనుకూలంగా ఉన్నచో ఆ విషయాన్ని వారికి తెలియచేసి వారి అనుమతి పొందిన తరువాత మీ వివరాలు పంపుటకు వీలుగా మీ వివరాలతో కూడిన జాతకచక్రాన్ని పంపవలసిందిగా కోరుచున్నాము. ధన్యవాదములు. ఇట్లు మీ విశ్వాసపాత్రులు

(2) ఇరువురి కోరికలు తెలుసుకొనుటకు మీ తరపున వివాహ సంబంధం గురించి వారితో మాట్లాడుటకు మీరు ఒక వ్యక్తిని నియమించినట్లయితే ఆ వ్యక్తి వారితో మాట్లాడి వారి అభిప్రాయాలు తెలుసుకొని మీకు తెలియచేయుటకు వీలుగా ఉంటుంది. ఆ వ్యక్తి వారి అభిప్రాయాలు తెలుసుకొనుటకు వారిని కలిసినప్పుడు వారి ఆర్థిక పరిస్థితులు, ఉద్యోగ వివరాలు, ఆస్తిపాస్తులు, కుటుంబ నేపథ్యం, ఆరోగ్యం, అలవాట్లు, ఇష్టాయిష్టాలు, ఆహారపు అలవాట్లు, ఇతర వివరాలు తెలుసుకొనుటకు వీలుగా ఉంటుంది. ఆ వ్యక్తి వారి అభిప్రాయాలు తెలుసుకొని మీకు తెలియచేసిన తరువాత మీరు వారితో మాట్లాడ

2nd page.

Authenticated copy herein attached marked (G).

Ditto attached, marked. (H)

3rd pg.

marked (C.)

(d) (marked D.)

(e) (marked E.)

11 (1)

(2)

4.ಕೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ:

(3) ಮೂಲ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದು ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂಲ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು
ನ ಕುಟುಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕೃಷಿ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆದಿರುವ
ಕಿಂಡ್ಲಿಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು ಕಬ್ಬಿನ ಬೆಳೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟಾವು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಲು
ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಅಡ್ಡಿಪಡಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಮೂಲ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

(4) ಮೂಲ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಮನವಿ ಪತ್ರ/ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿ
ಯಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗೆ ಕೈಗೆತ್ತಿಕೊಂಡು
ತ್ತಿಂಗಳಿನ ದಿನಾಂಕದಂದು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಎರಡೂ ಕಡೆಯವರಿಗೆ
ಪತ್ರ ಮುಖೇನ ತಿಳುವಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದು ಅದರಂತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿದ್ದು
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಮೂಲ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿ
ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ತಕರಾರು ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯಗಳ
ವರು ಮೂಲ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಮೂಲ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ
ಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ತಕರಾರು ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು
ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮೂಲ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಮೂಲ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ
ಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ತಕರಾರು ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು

ಸಹಿ/-
Assistant Commissioner

(3). ಬಸ್ಸುಕಾಲಚಿಂದಾ ಅಲ್ಲಲ ಬ್ರಹದಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಯಂಕೆಲ್ಲಿಕ್ಕೆ
ಕಲ್ಪಿಸುತ್ತೆ ಚಿಲಿಂಡ್ಲ್, ತರಭಾಕಲಿ ಯಲಾಂದ ಚಿಲಿಂಡ್ಲಿಲಗಳ್ಳಿ
ಗೆ ಲಲಲುಲ ಲಿಂಯಲಾಂ ಎಂಬಿಕ್ಕಿ. ಎಂಬಿನ್ನೆ ಅದ್ದಕಳ್ಳಿಂಬಲಿಂಬಿ
ನಗಲ್ಲಬ ಡಕಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಯಂದಾಲ ಲಾಲ್ಲಿ ಎಂತಲಾರೆ ಕೆ ಎಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಕಲ್ಲಿ
ಲ/ಲೆಲಿಕೆಲಿಂಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ದ್ವನಿಹೊಂಕಾತಲಾಹ್ಲೆ. ....

ಲಲಾಂಕೆಲ್ಲಿ ಎಂತಕಾಲಕಲಿಂಕಾಲಿಂಕಾಂ ಅ
ಛಾದ್ಯಪಾಂಕಲನು ಹಿಂಗ್ಲಿದ್ದಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಲಿಕ್ಕಿಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಂ V
ಚಿನಂದಲೆ. —— ...

(1) ಲಾಂಕಾಂಲಲು ಕಟ್ಟಿಂದಾಲಿಂಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಲ ಬ್ರಹದಲ್ಲಿ ಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ
ಲಲಾಂಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಲಿಂಲ್ಲಿಲಗಳ್ಳಿಕಲಿಂಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಲಾಂಚಿಕಲಿಂಚಿಕ
ಸಿಜಾಂಲ್ಲಿ ಚಾಂಚಿಲಿಚಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲಾಲ್ಲಿದಡಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ
ಸಿಲ್ಲಿರಳ್ಳಿಲ್ಲಿಲಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿಲಲು ಅ ಛಾದ್ಯಪಾಂಕಲನಲಂಚಿಲಿಲಿಲ
ಲಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ದಚಿಲಿಲಿಲಲಾಂದಲಲು ಚಿಲಿಲಿಲಿಂದಲಲಾ,
ಅ ಛಾದ್ಯಪಾಂಕಲನು ದ್ರುಂಕಲಿಂಲಿಲ ಲಿಂಯಲ್ಲಿಲಿ ಸಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿ
ಲಿಲಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಲಲಲು ಚಾಲ್ಲಿಚಿಲಿಂದಲಲಾಂ ಲ್ಲಿಲಕಲಿಂಚಿಲಿ
ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲಂಲ ಚಿಲಿಂದಲಲು ಅಲಿಂಕಾಂಚಿಕಾಂಸಲಿಂಲ್ಲಿ
ಲಲಾಲ್ಲಿ

(2) ಲಲು ದಲ್ಲಿ ಲಸಲಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ಲಲು ಲಲು ಲ ಚಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ
ಲಿಲಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಲಲು ಲಂಲಾಂಚಿಲ್ಲಿಲಾಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ
ಗಾಂಲ್ರಲಲ ಅ ಛಾದ್ಯಪಾಂಲಾಂ, ಎಂಲಲು ತಲಿಲಾಂಲಿಲಾಂಚಿಲ್ಲಿ
ಅಲಲು ಲಿಲ್ಲಾಂಲ್ರಲಲ ಅಲಿಚಿಲಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿಯಲು ಲಾಂ, ಎಲಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿ
ತಿಲಿಲಿಚಿಷಿಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲಿಲಿಲಿಸಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲಿಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಲಿಲಿಲ

No. Pet 116 Circular 2 15-7-96

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

No. 4132 dated 29-6-1896

received at the Dewan's Office from Vice-Pande Narasinga Row acting Amildar of Jagalur appealing against the order dated 15-5-96 passed by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore declining to grant to the petitioner without sale survey No. 170 of Mirle in the Jagalur Taluk applied for by him ~~and praying that pending disposal of the appeal the sale of the land may be ordered to be stayed~~

is forwarded, together with its Eight enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosures should be returned.

3 The sale of the land shall be stayed pending disposal of this appeal. granted by D.C.

By order,

Draft C

Bangalore, 18-7-1896

Jr. Chief Secretary

KCh 18-7-96

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

4189 g 25

Refs Emg
Mc
18/11

G.R. No 116-B, 68-7-95
Addl
15/11

Refers are pending
for
21/11

(3)

1896

No. 14 5 5 67

Name of Petitioner Sarabeniga Sin
Muldar.

Village Kinigar

Taluk Kinigar

District Chittaldrug

Date of Arst 2nd October, 9

Date of Receipt 14th November
96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT.

Prayg: that orders
may be given to the
Deputy Commr, for
the grant of the Cardi
accordance with the
decision of the Jamabandy
Officer.

for Mysore in
Centre for early
notice of the report
called for ✓

Report & Return
to
15/11/96

10/11/96

1488

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 1488
DATE 14-11-96

ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ

ತೆಲ್ಲಿಕೆರೆ ತಾಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲಿಟ್ಟ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಜನರ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಬಗೆಯ ಭಯ ಮತ್ತು ಆತಂಕ ಮನೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಕಾಣಿಸುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಒಂದು ಸಣ್ಣ ಕೆಲಸವಾಗಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಜನರು ಮೊದಲು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ "ಸಾಹೇಬ್ರು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಕೊಡ್ತಾರಾ?" ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಈ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯೇ ತೆಲ್ಲಿಕೆರೆ ತಾಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಶಾಸಕರಾಗಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಮೂರ್ತಿಯವರು ಎಷ್ಟರ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಜನರ ಮೇಲೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಭಾವವನ್ನು ಬೀರಿದ್ದರು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವಮೂರ್ತಿಯವರು ಕಳೆದ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ತೆಲ್ಲಿಕೆರೆ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಶಾಸಕರಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಯಾವ ಪಕ್ಷದಿಂದ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧಿಸಿದರೂ ಅವರೇ ಗೆಲ್ಲುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಯಾವ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೂ ಅವರೇ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಯಾವ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೂ ಅವರೇ ಮಂತ್ರಿಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಯಾವ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೂ ಅವರೇ ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಯಾವ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೂ ಅವರೇ ಪ್ರತಿಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಯಾವ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೂ ಅವರೇ ಸಚೇತಕರಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಯಾವ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೂ ಅವರೇ ಸಂಸದೀಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯ

ಸಂ. ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ಪ್ರಚಾರ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕುರಿತು

ಮೇಲಿನ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ಪ್ರಚಾರ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ.

1. ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿಸಿ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಸಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

2. ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಕರಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಮುದ್ರಿಸಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಉಚಿತವಾಗಿ ವಿತರಿಸಬೇಕು.

3. ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಲು ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಹುದ್ದೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

4. ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಲು ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಹುದ್ದೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

5. ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಲು ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಹುದ್ದೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಮೇಲಿನ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ಪ್ರಚಾರ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ.

1. ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿಸಿ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಬರೆಸಿ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

2. ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಕರಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಮುದ್ರಿಸಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಉಚಿತವಾಗಿ ವಿತರಿಸಬೇಕು.

3. ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಲು ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಹುದ್ದೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

4. ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಲು ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಹುದ್ದೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

5. ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಕುರಿತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಲು ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಹುದ್ದೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕು.

(4)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition No. 1185 dated 2-10-96 received at the Dewan's Office from Sesa. Pande Kaziyaphon Amilda [illegible] Virupakshi, bringing forward the Shanbhag of the Land S. No. 170 Murle, Yadaiore Taluk, applies to buy him.

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ for communication to this Office no. Rt 116 dy 18-7-96 to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District for early submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

28/11

~~Chief~~ Secretary.

BANGALORE,
27/11/1896.

for

27/11

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

(5)

No. 872
Enclosures No. Petition with its & Sr. + record.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Bangalore 5th December 1896.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your Memo No. 784 dated 20th July 1896, forwarding for submission a entire correspondence together with a full abstract of the facts of the case, the appeal petition of Sanna Veera-pandi Nanainga Row acting Amildar of Jagir-Loan appealing against the order of this Office declining to grant to the petitioner without sale Survey No. 170 of Chinle Village in the Gedalore Talúk applied for by him, I beg to submit herewith the entire Correspondence of the case and to state that the facts connected therewith have been fully explained in the English Memo (marked Nos 2 & 3 in the file)

drawn

Submitted with reference to the Revenue Commissioner's order on the above head of relation 4139 of 29.6.96

7/12/96

Recd 7 Pm.
7.12.96

If this is a complicated case let it be posted in Memorial course
20/12/96

3521
1396

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 23d
Despatched } November 1896.
Received

Enclosures No. Petition No. 4139 with 8 Pers & revenue records -

No. 872

Submitting the entire Correspondence connected with the petition of Devaraja Gowda - Varavinga Kom - acting Amildar of Yagalam

Re submitted, for orders -
This does not appear to be a complicated case - there is only the applicant's thumbkhat for conciliation & orders & it should if it can be treated as a "claim" respecting the occupation of land.
93/12

Recd 7 Pm
-3/12/96.

The facts of this case are well set out in the memo of appeal from Mr N. Venkata Rao. The only matter of the 6 Acres is a land in survey No 170 & situated between the Sy Nos 172 & 169 both of which are held by P. Narasimha Rao.

Petition Board
26/11/96

26/11

in the above-mentioned.—

I have the honour to be,

Sir

Your most obed.t Servant

Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District

Copy

No. was treated as Khanah, & is now in the Newspaper attached

proposed to be given out for cultivation,

Naraingarden wishes to have it, & the question is whether it is under what

by another agreement between Narain

permitted the latter to cut Mangoes

that the number of the land between

the cultivated fields & the Tope

limit is considered, & that it was

the latter is before the settlement

in question. The Amildar & the

Aprizes were also of that the same

opinion & that the latter produced an

unjust decree of [illegible] to

Vanya aided the land into holding

number. Mr. [illegible] has attached

[illegible]

[illegible] are

[illegible]

incidental breadth but the [illegible] crop

[illegible] Narainappa from [illegible]

held by the latter [illegible]

Vanya [illegible]

[illegible]

(23)

Venkata Rao. The price suggested by the latter viz, 75 Rs an acre appears to be fair and the grounds urged against the land being sold by auction are valid. Government therefore agree that Hireland may be given to the petitioners for the proposed upset price of 75 Rs an acre

Draft
C 2

for Secretary.

To

5/5/97

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District with Accounts

drawn up by Mr. S. Venkata Row, Asst.
Commissioner who has carefully gone
thro the records and personally inspected
the land in question. Though Mr.
Venkata Row recommended that the
land might be let out to the petitioner
for an upset price of Rs. 45 per acre
yet, on considering the locality, soil,
population and the value of wet
lands in the vicinity, I ordered the
Amildar to sell the land by public
auction in the interest of the Govern-
ment. The appeal is against this order.

2. The appeal petition and its
enclosures received with the memo
under reply are herewith resubmitted.

3. The sale of the land referred to
by the petitioner is attested as directed

Draft proceedings
in duplicate
Yes 30/12/97
Sd/-
Sd/-

Sd/- [illegible]
29/12/97

Proceedings of the Court Officers

Read

(1) Petition No 4139 with date
but received in this office on
the 29th June 1896 from
Respondent Kerr Inglis Low
Acting Surveyor General
appealing against the order of
the Deputy Commissioner dis-
District directing to grant
to the petitioner Waitemata
Survey No 70 a Crown grant
Granted and appeal for
by him.

(2) Letter No 8742 with date 3rd
November 1896 from the Deputy
Commissioner, Gisborne stating
Respecting as the above,

Crown Lands Office 9847
1654 10/97

The subject matter of the
petition is a Land Leasing
Survey No 70 situated
between the Survey No 24 69
both of which are held by
petitioner Respondent
This was treated as Waiver
in the Lower Court petitioner
and

is now proposed to be given out
for ~~cultivation~~ cultivation.
Nirasinga Rao wishes to have
it & the question is whether it
should be sold by auction as
given to him for an upset price.
The Deputy Commissioner,
Mr. Rangaswamy Aiyangar, recommends
the latter course on the ground
that the situation of the land
between the occupied fields of
the petitioner would cause him
inconvenience if given away
to any other & that it was in the
petitioner's holding before the
settlement although this latter
circumstance did not create
a right in his favour. The
Auditor & the Assistant Commissioner (by Revenue Rules)
were also of the same opinion
& the latter proposed an upset
price of Rs. 50 but the Divisional
Verdiangar ~~who presided by the Commissioner~~ who presided at the Commissioner's
ordered the land to be sold by
auction. The petitioner has
appealed against this order.
2 Government are inclined
to accept the view held by
Messrs. Rangaswamy Aiyangar &



Asst.
C2

Asst.
5/5/97